



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,092	11/05/2001	James H. Bucksbee	IR-2860(MT)	9463
193	7590	09/28/2005	EXAMINER	
LORD CORPORATION PATENT & LEGAL SERVICES 111 LORD DRIVE CARY, NC 27512			BURCH, MELODY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/992,092

Applicant(s)

BUCKSBEE, JAMES H.

Examiner

Melody M. Burch

Art Unit

3683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6,9-12,15 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6,9-12,15 and 17-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2 of claim 15 the phrase "comprise resilient portions" should be changed to --comprise said resilient portions-- to refer back to the previously recited resilient portions. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 15, 17, 18, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2179959 to Schroedter.

Re: claims 1, 4, 6, 15, 17, 18, 22, 23, 25, and 26. Schroedter shows in figure 4 a mount comprising a rigid housing 6, the housing having a hollow barrel with a central axis and a first end and an opposing second end, the hollow barrel shown defining a first chamber in which upper element 11 is located proximate the hollow barrel first end, a second chamber in which lower element 12 is located proximate the hollow barrel second end, and a seat opening communicating between the first chamber and the second chamber, the seat opening between the hollow barrel first end and the hollow barrel second end, with the first chamber, the seat opening and the second chamber

Art Unit: 3683

aligned along the hollow barrel central axis, the first chamber having a first chamber mouth shown at the top of the first chamber and a first chamber seat end shown at the bottom of the first chamber with the first chamber mouth proximate the hollow barrel first end and the first chamber seat end proximate the seat opening, the first chamber having a conically contoured wall inwardly tapered from the first chamber mouth to the first chamber seat end as shown, the second chamber having a second chamber mouth shown at the bottom of the second chamber and a second chamber seat end shown at the top of the second chamber with the second chamber mouth proximate the hollow barrel second end and the second chamber seat end proximate the seat opening, the second chamber having a conically contoured wall inwardly tapered from the second chamber mouth to the second chamber seat end as shown, a load bearing member upper 7,11, the load bearing member having a load bearing mouth end and a load bearing seat end, the load bearing member comprised of a molding bonded load bearing member outer resilient member 11 bonded to an inner rigid member 7, the load bearing member inner rigid member having a support surface proximate the load bearing mouth end and an opposing contact surface proximate the load bearing seat end, the load bearing member inner rigid member 7 having an inwardly directed taper from the support surface to the contact surface as shown, the load bearing member outer resilient member having an unbounded outer surface distal from the inner rigid member, the load bearing member outer resilient member unbonded outer surface radially inwardly directed tapered in a direction from the first chamber mouth to the first chamber seat end proximate the load bearing seat end as shown, the load bearing

Art Unit: 3683

member having a resilient portion between the load bearing member outer resilient member inwardly tapered unbonded outer surface and the load bearing member inner rigid member inwardly directed taper, a rebound member 8,12, the rebound member having a rebound mouth end and a rebound seat end, the rebound member comprised of a molding bonded outer resilient member 8 bonded to an inner rigid member 12, the rebound member inner rigid member having a support surface proximate the rebound mouth end and an opposing contact surface proximate the rebound seat end, the rebound member inner rigid member having an inwardly directed taper from the support surface to the contact surface, the rebound member outer resilient member having an unbonded outer surface distal from the inner rigid member, the rebound member outer resilient member unbonded outer surface radially inwardly tapered in a direction from the second chamber mouth to the second chamber seat end proximate the rebound seat end, the rebound member outer resilient member having a resilient portion between the rebound member outer resilient member inwardly tapered unbonded outer surface and the rebound member inner rigid member inwardly directed taper, and a coupling member 9, the coupling member drawing the load bearing member inner rigid member and the rebound member inner rigid member together along the rigid housing hollow barrel central axis through the rigid housing hollow barrel seat opening wherein the load bearing member inner rigid member contact surface and the rebound member inner rigid member contact surface are in abutment indirectly via intervening elements, and the load bearing member outer resilient member inwardly directed tapered unbonded outer surface seated against the first chamber inwardly tapered wall, the load bearing

Art Unit: 3683

member outer resilient member resilient portion precompressed between the load bearing member inner rigid member inwardly directed taper and the first chamber inwardly tapered wall, and the rebound member outer resilient member inwardly directed taper unbonded outer surface seated against the second chamber inwardly tapered wall, the rebound member outer resilient member resilient portion precompressed between the rebound member inner rigid member inwardly directed taper and the second chamber inwardly tapered wall.

Re: claim 24. DE '926 shows in figure 3 the limitation wherein the load bearing member comprises a plurality of alignment members or unnumbered nut and element 10 along the support surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9, 10, 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schroedter.

Re: claims 9 and 10. Schroedter describes the invention substantially as set forth above, but does not include the specific limitation regarding the material of the resilient members being the same or different. Since Applicant failed to provide an explanation of criticality regarding the resilient materials being the same or different. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3683

made to have modified the resilient members to have been composed of the same or different material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Re: claims 11, 12, and 19. Schroedter describes the invention substantially as set forth above but does not include the limitation of the mount base specifically being H-shaped. In In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) the court held that the configuration of a claimed object was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration produced an unexpected result. Since Applicant failed to provide an explanation of criticality associated with the mount base being H-shaped, Examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mount base of DE '926 to have been H-shaped to provide an alternate functionally equivalent means of attaching the mount to a surrounding structure.

6. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schroedter in view of US Patent 5174552 to Hodgson et al.

Schroedter describes the invention substantially as set forth above, but does not include the limitation of the mount base specifically including at least three attachment flanges .

Hodgson et al. teach in figure 1 the use of a mount base 12 comprising at least three attachment flanges 32.

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mount base of Schroedter with at least three attachment flanges, as taught by Hodgson et al., in order to provide a means of more securely attaching the mount base to a surrounding structure. Examiner notes that Schroedter, as modified, teaches the arms extending between (the bottom of) the mount base and (the top of) the barrel.

Please see next page.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 571-272-7114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3683

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smmp
mmb

September 26, 2005

Melody M. Burch
9/26/05